

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/506,542	ROMAGNOLI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Omar Rojas	2874

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Omar Rojas.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) David Longo.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A.

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A.


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



John D. Lee

(Examiner/SPE Signature) **Primary Examiner**

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a conversation with Mr. Longo, Examiner Rojas proposed changes to claim 10 in view of additional prior art not of record. Mr. Longo made a counter-proposal which was tentatively agreed to by Examiner Rojas as placing the claims in condition for allowance. The changes to claim 10 that were agreed to have been incorporated into an attached Examiner's Amendment.